Table 1

	2006 SHLAA	Hares 2015 LCA Sensitivity	2016 LCA Sensitivity	LCA Sensitivity	Connectivity	Connectivity	Consultation Ranking Survey	(landowner* or UNPWG with landowner)	Issue	Housing Allocation	map ref
							1st survey/2nd survey				
Paddocks at Elm Rise SD71	reject	moderate (lower part)	medium high	high	close to village centre	close to village centre	8 not included	15-20 dwellings, public footpath *	surface water drainage	reject	3b
Soldiers Field House SD72	possible allocatio n (PDL status)	substantial	medium (PDL status)	high	not assessed	not close to village centre and bus stops >800m	7 not included	11 dwellings *	risk of loss of substantial beech hedge	reject	7
Paddock north of Nightingales	not in SHLAAs	slight	not assessed	slight	not assessed	acceptably close to village centre and bus stops	3 4	9-10 dwellings, access road and parking mitigation	access road and parking mitigation	yes	15
Former Fire Station	not in SHLAAs	not assessed (within settlement boundary)	not assessed (within settlement boundary	slight	not assessed	acceptably close to village centre and bus stops	2 3	5-6 flats, converted barn style	in years 5-10 after current lease expires	yes	19
Atalanta/ Mayland	not in SHLAAs	not assessed (within settlement boundary)	not assessed (within settlement boundary)	slight	not assessed	acceptably close to village centre and bus stops	4 6	net 4 dwellings, existing accesses	will be considered windfall	<b>no</b> (windfall)	20
North end of Monarchs Way field	reject	substantial (field as a whole, north end not considered on own)	<b>high</b> (field as a whole, north end not considered)	medium high (with landscape buffer)	not assessed	acceptably close to village centre and bus stops	5 <b>4</b>	11-12 dwellings, replacement pre school, enhanced access, landscape buffer	Village Hall Trust will not make part of car park available to widen existing access	in reserve	13
Paddock east of Pony Farm	reject	moderate	medium high	medium high	not assessed	acceptably close to village centre and bus stops	6 not included	4 dwellings, subject to access	no satisfactory access proposed	reject	5c
Former allotments	reject (Local Gap)	moderate	medium high	medium high	not well connected to settlement	acceptably close to south end of High Street, close to bus stops	1 <b>1</b>	10 dwellings, 9 allotments (separate), improved access	access via Quadrangle with provision for link road via Garden Centre in future	yes	16
North end of Wyevale paddocks	reject (Local Gap)	moderate	medium high	medium high	not well connected to settlement	acceptably close to south end of High Street, close to bus stops	not available <b>2</b>	11-12 dwellings, landscape buffer, in association with replacement garden centre	in years 8-12 after current lease expires access via Garden Centre access	yes	17

1

site	Arun 2006 SHLAA	David Hares 2015 LCA Sensitivity	SDNPA 2016 LCA Sensitivity	UNP 2017 LCA Sensitivity	SDNPA 2016 Connectivity	UNP 2017 Connectivity	Local Consultation Ranking Survey	Proposal (landowner* or UNPWG with landowner)	Deliverability Issue	Proposed Housing Allocation	Findon map ref
Paddock west of Nepcote	reject	substantial	high	high	not well connected to settlement	not close to village centre and bus stops >800m,	not included, landscape first rejected	agents proposals <b>rejected</b> on high landscape sensitivity		rejected	11
Paddock north of Soldiers Field Stables	not in SHLAAs	not assessed	high	high	not assessed	not close to village centre and bus stops >800m	not available, 'landscape first' rejected	no proposals at time of surveys		rejected	6
Lister Yard Paddock	reject (Local Gap)	moderate	medium high	medium high	not assessed	acceptably close to south end of High Street, close to bus stops	not available at time of either survey	4-5 dwellings	now available, subject to access via Garden Centre	in reserve	17A
Field south of Monarchs Way	reject (Local Gap)	substantial	high	high	not assessed	acceptably close to village centre and bus stops	not included, 'landscape first' rejected, no access	no proposals		rejected	12
Parcels 1,2,3A,4,5A, 5B,8,9,10,18	reject	substantial	high	high	not assessed	not assessed	not included, 'landscape first' rejected	no proposals		rejected	1,2,3A, 4,5A, 5B, 8,9,10, 18
Parcels 21,22	potential	slight	not assessed (within settlement boundary)	not assessed (windfall)	not assessed	not assessed	not included, would be considered windfall	no proposals		<b>no</b> (windfall)	21,22
author: UNPWG Mar 2018											